

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.15227 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -5 Year- 2016 Thana -SANGRAMPUR District- MUNGER

=====

Patali Yadav @ Potaliya Yadav S/o Shaymsunder Yadav, R/o Village-
Bichh Chahar, P.S.- Teliya Bamber, District-

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Sangrampur**
P.S. Case No. 05 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Section
414 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
petitioner is not named in the written report. One Pappu Yadav
with stolen vehicle (Bolero) was arrested and he has disclosed the
name of this petitioner. There is no recovery of any article from
possession of this petitioner.

It is mentioned in paragraph-3 of the bail petition that
petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with **Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 05 of 2016**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IIInd, Munger**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

