

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12380 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -332 Year- 2017 Thana -DHANARUA District- PATNA

=====

Upendra Ravidas, aged about 22 years, son of Arun Ravidas, resident of village Nadpura, P.S. Dhanarua, Distt. Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Rakesh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 332 of 2017, SPL.Case No. 113 of 2017** instituted for the offence under Sections 306/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4,6 and 8 of POCSO Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics.

There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner that he along with co-accused Raushan Ram used to misbehave with the daughter of the informant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within



six weeks from today, in connection with **Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 332 of 2017, Spl. Case No. 113 of 2017**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of **learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Patna**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

