

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6060 of 2011

- =====
1. Raj Kumari Devi Wife Of Raghunath Sah Resident Of Village Kasdi Milki Police Station Antichak District Bhagalpur At Present Posted As Anganbari Sevika, Anganbari Center Kasdi Milki, Centre No. 211, P.S. Kahalgaon, District Bhagalpur
 2. Ganga Devi Alias Gunga Devi Wife Of Nanat Kumar Sah Resident Of Village Kasdi Milki, P.S. Antichak District Bhagalpur At Present Posted As Sahayika, Anganbari Centre No. 211, P.S. Kahalgaon, District Bhagalpur

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate Bhagalpur
3. The District Programme Officer Bhagalpur
4. The Child Development Project Officer Kahalgaon District Bhagalpur
5. The Mukhiya, Oriup Gram Panchayat, P.S. Antichk District Bhagalpur
6. Panchayat Secretary, Oriup Gram Panchayat P.S. Antichak District Bhagalpur

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashok Kr Choudhary SC13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 31-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel representing the State.

In terms of the order dated 25.01.2018, learned
counsel for the State informs this Court that a fresh
appointment has already been done pursuant to advertisement
published in the year 2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage,
submits that he has instruction to show that the fresh
engagement of Anganbari Sahaika has been done subject to
result of the case. However, learned counsel has not



challenged fresh appointment till date and the writ application has not been amended because he could not get instruction earlier.

Learned counsel submits that he will seek fresh instruction with all details, and if so desire, may be given liberty to file a fresh writ application challenging the fresh appointment/selection of Anganbari Sahaika during the pendency of this writ application.

In view of the above made submissions, there is no point in keeping this writ application pending. The petitioners shall have liberty, if so advised, to challenge the fresh selection process in accordance with law, and if such a challenge is made they will be at liberty go raise all such pleas which were available to her in the present application.

Accordingly, this writ application stands disposed off.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	01.02.2018
Transmission Date	NA

