

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.69807 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-174 Year-2018 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

Sahjad Mian Son of Nayeem Mian Resident of Village-Masan Tola,
Fulwariya, P.S. Ramnagar, Distt.-West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Anant Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 07-12-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 174 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 354A and 354D of the Indian Penal Code and 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act).

Allegation against petitioner is of abusing the informant in filthy language on her mobile phone and threatening her to kill family members if she is not agreeable to his wishes.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case as pressure tactics. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is



in custody since 17.08.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-Cum-Special Judge, under POCSO Act, West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with Ramnagar P.S. Case No. 174 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

