

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.68922 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1095 Year-2018 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

-
1. Bablu @ Kumar Bablu @ Bablu Kumar Son of Sharda Nand Yadav, Resident of Village-Amhara, P.S.-Bihta District-Patna.
 2. Phulbir Singh @ Kulvir Singh Son of Ranvir Singh, Resident of Village-Rainakpura Rohtak, P.S.-Gaukaran, District-Rohtas (Hariyana).
 3. Ravikant Son of Pritam Singh, Resident of Village-Rajendra Nagar Sukhpura Chauk Rohtak, P.S.-Supra Chauk Sadar, District-Rohtak, (Hariyana).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajendra Prasad Nat

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-11-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioners, who are in custody, seek bail in connection with Special Case No. 9255 of 2018 arising out of Bihta P.S. Case No. 1095 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Allegation against petitioners is of recovery of 78.780 ml of foreign liquor from the Honda City GX Car which was being occupied by four accused persons.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners



that petitioners have no criminal antecedent and they are in custody since 02.10.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge-Excise, Patna, in connection with Special Case No. 9255 of 2018 arising out of Bihta P.S. Case No. 1095 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel their bail bonds.

(3) If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

