

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16698 of 2010

=====

Smt. Ritu Devi W/O Kamlesh Prasad Singh R/O Mohalla- Ashok Nagar, Road No.-
1A, P.S.- Kankarbagh, Distt.- Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Patna Regional Development Authority (Since Dissolved) through Town
Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Bihar, Patna
3. Director, Urban Planning, Bihar, Patna
4. Executive Engineer Regional Development Authority (Since Dissolved), Bihar,
Patna
5. Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna
6. Manoj Kumar, Architect Patna Regional Development Authority (Since
Dissolved), Bihar, Patna.

(Respondent 1st Set)

7. Asha Devi W/O Sitaram Singh @ Sitaram Sharma R/O Vill.- Kathpur, P.S.-
Ghosi, Distt.- Jehanabad, at present House No. F-144, P.C. Colony,
Kankarbagh, Patna-800020
8. Satyadeo S/O Late Binda Singh R/O Vill.- Adla, .S.- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna,
at present R/O Rental Flat - 56, Kankarbagh, P.S.- Kankarbagh, Distt.- Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimal Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Z. Haider, AC to SC-9
For respondent no. 7 : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 25-01-2018

Heard Mr. Bimal Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner, Mr. Z. Haider, learned AC to SC-9 for the State and Mr.



Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for private respondent no. 7.

2. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is against the interlocutory order dated 08.05.2010 passed by the Town Commissioner, Patna Regional Development Authority, Bihar, Patna in Case No. 6 B of 2010 by which the petitioner has been directed not to make any construction over her land without permission and file her written notes of arguments within one week.

3. In the opinion of this Court, the writ petition is premature, as no final order has been passed in the case and show-cause notice issued to the petitioner is not without jurisdiction. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

4. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to raise all her points before the authority concerned in the matter and if final order also goes against her, she may challenge the same in accordance with law before the appropriate forum.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Kanchan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.01.2018
Transmission Date	na

