

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.67442 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-343 Year-2017 Thana- BARHARA District- Bhojpur

=====

EKRAM YADAV @ EKRAM @ PATIRAM YADAV @ AKRAM YADAV
Son of late Dehriyadav Resident of Village- Kawal Chapra, P.S. Barhara,
District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Ataul Haque

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Shyam Bihari Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-11-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Barhara P.S.Case No.343 of 2017 registered for offences punishable under Sections 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner and other accused persons is of firing on the informant.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that allegation is general and omnibus in nature and there is land dispute between the parties and on the basis of the same, the co-accused has been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 11.9.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No.37594 of 2018.



Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM,Arrah in connection with Barhara P.S.case No.343 of 2017..

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and in the event of failure to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--



