

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.4017 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-209 Year-2018 Thana- PUPRI District- Sitamarhi

-
1. Ram Pramod Rai @ Pramod Rai, Son of Sumindra Rai,
 2. Ram Pukar Rai @ Ram Saroj Kumar, Son of Sumindra Rai,
 3. Ram Pravesh Rai, Son of Nagendra Rai, All resident of Village- Bhitha Dharmpur, Police Station-Pupri, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Smt Usha Kumari No-1, SPP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 18-12-2018

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, against refusal of the prayer for anticipatory bail by order dated 07.09.2018 in A.B.P. No.1632 of 2018/380 of 2018 passed by the learned A.D.J. 1st-cum-Special Judge SC/ST, Sitamarhi in connection with Pupri P.S.Case No. 209 of 2018 registered under Sections 341,323,379,504,506,34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(i)(r) (s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act.

The occurrence of assault and theft allegedly took place on the occasion of a cultural programme during marriage ceremony. There is case and counter



case. Parties have entered into a compromise.

Considering the aforesaid facts, let the appellants, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000 (Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and further the appellants shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellants.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.12.2018
Transmission Date	20.12.2018

