

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.65377 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -690 Year- 2014 Thana -GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP. District-
PATNA

=====

1. Rafikul Sheikh @ Bhodu Sheikh @ Rafikul Sheikh @ Bhhodu Sheikh
son of Kasemuddin, Resident of Village- Sheikhpura, P.S.- Vaishnav
Nagar, District- Malda (West Bengal).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Directorate of Revenue Intelligence,
Regional Unit, Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ansul

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manjay Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Additional Public prosecutor for the State.

Earlier, the prayer for bail of the petitioner was twice
rejected by this Court but while rejecting the bail prayer of the
petitioner vide order dated 17.01.2018 passed in Cr. Misc.
No.53818 of 2017, this Court directed the trial court to conclude
the trial of the petitioner within six months. Bail order dated
27.09.2018 passed by learned Special Judge, Economic Offences,
Patna goes to show that trial of the petitioner is still pending.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that accused Sita Nath Sarkar has been granted privilege of bail by



a co-ordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 19.09.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 48332 of 2018 and allegedly Rs. 6 lacs counterfeit notes had been recovered from possession of the accused Sita Nath Sarkar and only Rs. 1,98,000/- counterfeit notes are said to have been recovered from possession of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances as well as submission of the parties and also to maintain parity of justice, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge Economic Offences, Patna in connection with Complaint Case No. 690(C) of 2014,subject to the condition that one of the bailors must be a close relative of the petitioner, who shall swear an affidavit as to how he/she is related with the petitioner.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

N.K/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

