

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.64766 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -601 Year- 2018 Thana -MADHEPURA District- MADHEPURA

=====

1. Gopal Mehta, Son of Bhumi Mehta, Resident of Village- Brahmottar,
P.S. & District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Praveen Kumar Agrawal, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Madhepura (Bharrahi O.P) P.S. Case No. 601 of 2018, registered
for offences punishable under Section 392 of Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner as per F.I.R. is that
two miscreants intercepted the motorcycle of the informant and
looted the bag on the point of weapons, cash of Rs. 16,000/- and
key of the motorcycle and thereafter, they fled away.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and later on the
name of the petitioner transpires on the confessional statement and
he has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted
that he has no criminal history and no incriminating article has



been and the petitioner is in custody since 09.08.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand)each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura, in connection with Madhepura (Bharrahi O.P.), P.S. Case No. 601 of 2018, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

Iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

