

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4391 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6106 of 2005

=====

Deepali Basu, aged about 70 years, (Senior Citizen). Wife of Sri Murlidhar Prasad.
Resident of Mohalla - White House Compound, Road no. 5, Police Station Civil
Line, District - Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Deepak Kumar, the Principal Secretary Cum Commissioner, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. S. Kumar, the Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, Gaya, District - Gaya.
4. Mr. D.K. Singh, the Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Town Block, Police Station - Chandauli, District - Gaya.
5. Mr. Dinesh Prasad, the Treasury Officer, Gaya, District - Gaya.
6. Mr. Rakesh Kumar, the Accountant General (A & E), Birchand Patel Path, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the State : Mr. Amish Kumar, AC to AAG 8

For the Accountant General: Mr. Ranjan Kumar, SC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-01-2018

None appears for the petitioner although learned counsel
for the respondents are present.

From the show cause filed by the respondents and the
orders contained in Annexure-B from page -13 onwards of the show
cause it is seen that gratuity and various other claims as are detailed in
the order have been paid to the petitioner and with regard to certain
other claims to be settled it is stated that there being serious dispute
that an F.I.R. has been lodged it could not be settled. Thereafter the



petitioner was required to file an affidavit for settlement of her claim.

Even though the show cause has been filed on 10.12.2014 there is no rebuttal by the petitioner to the show cause till date and when the matter is taken up no one appears on behalf of the petitioner consistently for more than three occasions. Being satisfied with the averments and enclosures thereto in the show cause as contained in Annexure-B from page 13 onwards, I am not inclined to proceed further in the matter.

In case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to her claim persists, liberty shall be available to her to initiate fresh proceeding inspite of the fact and circumstances and conduct of the petitioner that she did not rebut to the show cause which was filed on 10.12.2014. That apart, the petitioner claims that the requirement called for in Annexure-B may be considered in accordance with law.

The application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

mrl./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	09.01.2018
Transmission Date	

