

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.64776 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -302 Year- 2017 Thana -LAXMIPUR District- JAMUI

=====

1. Mohan Manjhi, Son of Late Chetu Manjhi, Resident of Village- Masle,
P.S.- Laxmipur, District- Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajesh Sahay, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-10-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioners seek regular bail in connection with
Laxmipur P.S.Case No. 302 of 2017, registered for the offence
under Sections 397, 395/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner as per F.I.R. is of that
some unknown miscreants entered into the house of the informant
and looted some ornaments, cash and mobile phone. It also
appears that the petitioner is an accused in five cases also.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is
that he has not committed any offence rather, he has not put on
Test Identification Parade and the name of the petitioner
transpires on the confessional statement of co-accused, Vijay
Pandit @ Bipin Pandit and charge sheet has already been



submitted against the petitioner, moreover the petitioner is in custody since 24.04.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P. also who opposed the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the allegation as well as criminal antecedent of the petitioner, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. However, the petitioner may renew prayer for bail after framing of charge.

With the aforesaid observation, the instant petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

