

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.60545 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -110 Year- 2017 Thana -BHAIKAVSHATHAN District- MADHUBANI

1. Murari Singh @ Kartikesh Singh S/o Sanjay Singh, R/o Vill.- Kapariya,
P.S.- Khazauli, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratamakar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad, A.P.P.-116

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-10-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Bhairab Asthan P. S. Case No. 110 of 2017, corresponding to
G.R. No. 1864 of 2017, registered for offences punishable under
Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation as per F.I.R. is that the petitioner along
with three accused persons demanded money from the informant
and due to non payment of money, the informant was assaulted
with knife blow on the right thigh and they fled away.

Submission of the learned counsel for the
petitioner is that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and no
incriminating articles has been recovered from the possession of



the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 22.03.2018 and charge sheet has been submitted and except confessional statement of petitioner in which he has named Amresh Kumar Singh, who has assaulted the informant and knife was recovered from Amresh Kumar Singh and there is nothing against the petitioner and other co-accused have been granted bail by the learned lower court.

Heard learned A.P.P also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jhanjharpur in connection with Bhairab Asthan P.S.Case No. 110 of 2017, corresponding to G.R. No. 1864 of 2017, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.



iii) It is made clear that if petitioner found involved in any of the like offences, in future, prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

