

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.60505 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -134 Year- 2018 Thana -MIRGANJ District- GOPALGANJ

=====

1. Vikesh Singh S/o Ram Nath Singh, R/o Vill.- Badheya, P.S.- Mirganj,
District- Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Kant, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyavarat Verma, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-10-2018 Heard the parties.

The petitioners seek regular bail in connection with
Mirganj P.S.Case No. 134 of 2018, registered on the offence under
Section 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioner is of
assault on the son of the informant, causing his death.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is
that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and this
occurrence was taken place due to the removal of Maro from the
marriage from the land of the petitioner but the post mortem
report has not supported the injury, which is annexed as
Annexure-3 of this petition and he is in custody since 24.05.2018.

Heard learned A.P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and
circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant bail to



the petitioner at this stage. However, he may renew prayer for bail after examination of the informant before the Trial Court, who will consider the prayer for bail on the basis of material available on the record.

With the aforesaid observation, the instant petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

