

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.54629 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2018 Thana- HARPUR District- Munger

=====

KAILU YADAV @ KAILASH YADAV @ KAILA @ KAILU S/o Mohan
Yadav, R/o Vill.- Malachak, P.S.- Harpur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Nityanand

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 27-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Harpur P.S. Case No. 06 of 2018** registered for the offence punishable under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioners is of snatching the motorcycle of the informant along with one mobile, bag of clothes and Rs. 200 cash. FIR is against three unknown persons.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Fucho @ Abhiram Yadav, who has been granted bail by the trial court. Similarly, situated co-accused person has been granted bail by a co-ordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 25.08.2018 in



Criminal Miscellaneous No. 47357 of 2018. Petitioner is in custody since 07.07.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **SDJM, Munger**, in connection with **Harpur P.S. Case No. 06 of 2018** , with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

