

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.63236 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -69 Year- 2017 Thana -LAUKARIA District-  
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

1. Mahesh Uraon S/o Thag Uraon, R/o Village- Shivnaha P.S.- Balmiki  
Nagar, District- West Champaran.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Giri

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Anil Prasad Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      12-01-2018                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Laukariya P.S. Case No.69 of 2017 registered for the offences  
punishable under Sections 25(1-b)A, 26 of the Arms Act and 3/4  
of Explosive Substance Act and Sections 16, 17, 18, 20, 22 and  
23 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act.

It has been submitted that the name of this petitioner  
has been disclosed by one Laxman Prasad Soni, who was  
apprehended by police. It is said that country made firearm and  
some incriminating materials were recovered from his possession.  
The apprehended accused disclosed that he is associated with an  
extremist group and he was going to meet the petitioner. Except



this, there is nothing against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 17.10.2017.

The learned A.P.P. for the State opposed the submission.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer of bail is allowed. The petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Bagaha, West Champaran in connection with Laukariya P.S. Case No.69 of 2017, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) If the petitioner is found involved in future in similar type of allegation, the prosecution will have liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- (iv) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

Harish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

**(Sanjay Kumar, J)**

