

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14979 of 2016

- =====
1. Ajay Prasad, Son of Madan Prasad, resident of Village + Post-Siswaniyan, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gaopalganj, (Bihar), Pin- 841436.
 2. Santosh Kumar Verma, son of Late Rajendra Prasad Verma, Resident of Village + P.O.- Siswaniyan, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj, (Bihar), Pin- 841436.
 3. Sanjay Kumar Srivastava, son of Sri Madan Prasad Srivastava, Resident of Village + P.O.-Siswaniya, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj, (Bihar), Pin- 841436.
 4. Mithlesh Kumar Rai, son of Munar Rai, Resident of Village + P.O.- Siswaniya, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj, (Bihar), Pin- 841436.
 5. Sanjay Kumar Srivastava, Son of Late Satyanarayan Prasad Srivastava, Resident of Village + P.O.-Siswaniya, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj, (Bihar), Pin- 841436.
 6. Pankaj Kumar Srivastava, Son of Pashupati Lal Srivastava, Resident of Village + P.O.-Siswaniya, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj.
 7. Raman Kumar Verma, son of Late Rajendra Prasad Verma, Resident of Village + P.O.-Siswaniya, P.S.- Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary/ Principal Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Gopalganj.
3. The B.D.O. Gopalganj.
4. The Civil Surgeon, Gopalganj.
5. The In-charge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre Uchaka Gaon, District- Gopalganj.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shruti Sinha, Advocate
Mr. Ebrahim Kabir, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajeshwar Singh-GA10
Mr. R. B. N. Singh, AC to GA10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 18-09-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the facts of the present case are identical to the case of C.W.J.C. No. 11798 of



2011 (Mausami D.D.T. Chhirkao Kramchari Sangh & Anr. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.), C.W.J.C. No. 2422 of 2014 (Dharmendra Kumar & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) and C.W.J.C. No. 4821 of 2014 (Dwarika Sah & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.), the aforesaid orders squarely cover the issue involved in the present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the facts, law and relief of the case of petitioners and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid cases, the same benefit should also be extended to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/Sunny

U			
---	--	--	--

