

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15644 of 2016

=====

Hari Nath Yadav Son of Late Sita Ram Yadav, resident of Village- Kamrail, P.O.-
Kamrail, P.S. Marauna, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
4. The District Food and Civil Supply Officer, Supaul.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Nirmali, Supaul.
6. The Sub Divisional Food and Civil Supply Officer Nirmali, Supaul.
7. The Block Development Officer, Marauna, Supaul.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate

For the Respondents : Smt. Namrata Mishra, GA6

For Respondent-BSFC : Mr. Shailendra Kr. Singh,
Mr. Lalmani Sharma, Advocates

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 03-05-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following
reliefs—

*“(i) To direct the respondent authorities to provide
adequate issuance of renewal P.S.D. shop licence in
Kamrail Panchayat P.S. Marauna, District Supaul.*

*(ii) To direct the respondent authorities to issue the
renewal licence of P.D.S. Shop of the petitioner, which who
is the disease and unfortunately not filed application for
renewal P.D.S. Shop licence in meantime and thereafter
he has good health and filed application for issue P.D.S.
Licence.*

*(iii) Any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is
entitled.”*



2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if the petitioner’s representation dated 12.01.2016 (Annexure-1) filed before the District Magistrate, Supaul (Respondent No. 3) is directed to be disposed of, in which event, the present writ petition need not be pressed on merits.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents appear and have been heard. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent-Corporation.

4. Having regard to the nature of the grievances and the stand of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Supaul (Respondent No. 3) to consider and dispose of the petitioner’s representation dated 12.01.2016 (Annexure-1), if the same is still pending before him, after grant of opportunity of hearing to the petitioner in accordance with law, expeditiously, and preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this judgment.

B.T/-

(Vikash Jain, J)

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	07.05.2018
Transmission Date	N.A.

