

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.51076 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2018 Thana -SIKTA District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

Triloki Sah, S/o Jagdeo Sah, R/o Sirisiya Nawka Tola, P.S. Sikta, District -
West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 31-08-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in **Sikta P.S. Case**
No.04 of 2018 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
366A/34 Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged in the written report that this petitioner has
kidnapped the daughter of the informant.

The victim girl has given her statement under Section
164 Cr.P.C. which is enclosed as Annexure-2, wherein, she has
stated that this petitioner has not kidnapped her. In the statement,
she has taken the name of other persons, who have confined her in
a house.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the
petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of



surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with **Sikta P.S. Case No.04 of 2018**, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the **Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions: (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be liable to cancel his bail bond and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

**JA/-
Rohit Kr.**

U		T	
---	--	---	--

