

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52058 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2016 Thana- SAHARGHAT District- Madhubani

Sumitra Devi W/o Anant Singh, R/o Vill.- Bhagwaripur Karmaur, P.S.-
Pandarak, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saroj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Harendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-10-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner seeks bail in **Saharghat P.S. Case No. 80 of 2016** registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing murder of the son of the informant, though in the FIR he is not named but during investigation involvement of petitioner has been found.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of confessional statement of a co-accused. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Similarly, situated co-accused persons have been granted bail by co-ordinate benches of this Court vide orders



dated 03.03.2017 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 55 of 2017 and
14.11.2017 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 54177 of 2017.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of
the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon
furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Sessions
Judge-VII, Madhubani**, in connection with **Saharghat P.S.
Case No. 80 of 2016**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having
sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction
of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial
and shall be present on each and every date fixed by
the court and his absence on two consecutive dates
without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient
to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the
evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case,
prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation
of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

