

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4303 of 2016

=====

Arbind Kumar Singh Son of Late Sidhanath Singh Maharana Pratap Nagar,
P.O. + P.S. - Ara Nawada, Ara, District - Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Bar Council of India through its Chairman, 21 Rouse Avenue, Industrial Area,
New Delhi.
3. Bihar State Bar Council through its Chairman, Bar Council Bhawan, near
High Court, Patna.
4. Patna University, Patna through its Registrar.
5. The Vice Chancellor, Patna University, Patna.
6. The Principal, Patna Law College, Patna.
7. Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur through its Registrar.
8. The Vice-Chancellor, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
9. The Principal, T.N.B. Law College, Bhagalpur.
10. Magadh University, Bodh Gaya through its Registrar.
11. The Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
12. The Principal College of Commerce, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ratan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar- AAG 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 05-04-2018



The matter pertains to grant of recognition to T.N.B. Law College, Bhagalpur, Patna Law College, Patna and Law Faculty College of Commerce, Patna, Bihar.

Inter alia contending that all these colleges are functioning without proper approval and sanction from the Bar Council of India in violation to the statutory provision, this Public Interest Litigation petition has been filed.

From the additional counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Bar Council of India on 5.2.2015, we find that the Bar Council of India was seized of the matter, certain proceedings have been held and from the additional counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Bar Council of India on 5.2.2018, it is seen that conditional recommendation has been granted for the period 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 on various conditions as are stipulated in the order issued.

As the Bar Council of India is already seized of the matter and has granted conditional recommendation, it is not necessary for us to interfere now in this Public Interest Litigation exercising our extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

In case the petitioner has any objection with regard to grant of recognition, he may file an appropriate representation to



the Bar Council of India whereupon it would be for the Bar Council of India, the statutory authority, to look into the matter and pass appropriate orders.

With the aforesaid, finding no indulgence to be granted, we dispose of the present application.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	11.04.2018
Transmission Date	

