

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.707 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1037 of 2005

- =====
1. Sonelal Singh son of Late Ram Swarath Singh resident of village - Barhi, Via + P.S. - Jay Nagar, District - Madhubani, at present posted and working as an Assistant Teacher in Government Middle School Khaira Tole, P.O. - Duli Patti, Via + P.S. - Jaynagar, District - Madhubani.
 2. Amresh Prasad Singh son of Late Rameshwar Singh resident of Village and P.O. - Selara, Block + P.S. - Jay Nagar, District - Madhubani at present posted and working as an Assistant Teacher in Government Middle School Sukki, Block - Khajauli, District - Madhubani.
 3. Madneshwar Yadav son of Late Jagdeo Yadav resident of Village - Hathisara, P.O. - Ekari, Block - Ladania, District - Madhubani at present posted and working as an Assistant Teacher in Government Middle School Dalokhar, P.O. - Mirzapur, Block - Ladania, District - Madhubani.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Shri Dharmendra Singh Gangwar, The Commissioner and Secretary, Secondary, Primary and Adult Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Dharmendra Singh Gangwar, The Secretary, Primary and Adult Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri M. Ramchandra Tuddu, The Director, Primary and Adult Education, Bihar, Patna.
5. Shri Abdul Washit, The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
6. Shri Anil Kumar Verma, The District Superintendent of Education, District - Madhubani.
7. Shri Satya Narayan Paswan, The Treasury Officer, Madhubani.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Narayan Mahto, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ga1 Shyam Kishore Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER

2 10-01-2018 From the order passed by the respondents as Annexure A dated 22.7.2016, it is seen that, for reasons indicated therein, representation of the petitioners has been rejected.



That being so, no further indulgence is called for in the present proceedings.

In case the petitioners have any grievance still subsisting with regard to the manner in which the claim has been considered and rejected, they shall have the liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law in an appropriate proceeding.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

