

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.50650 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -32 Year- 2016 Thana -MARAUNA District- SUPAUL

=====

1. Deo Nandan Yadav S/o Late Ramphal Yadav
2. Lal Kumar Yadav S/o Deo Nandan Yadav Both are residents of Village -
Parsauni, Police Station - Marauna, District - Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bisheshwar Ram, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-08-2018

Heard the parties.

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in connection with Marauna P.S.Case No. 32 of 2016, G.R. No. 765 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Sections 366/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R., allegation against the petitioners is of kidnapping the daughter of the informant.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and the F.I.R. has been lodged after delay of four days without giving any plausible reasons.

Heard learned APP. It has been submitted by learned



APP from the impugned order it appears that the statement of the victim girl has been recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. where she has also stated that the accused persons took her away Delhi by train and assaulted.

In view of allegation against the petitioners, above named, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected with a direction to the petitioners that if the petitioners surrender before the Court below and make prayer for regular bail, which will be considered by the learned court below on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order of this Court.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

