

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3263 of 2016

=====

Sunita Sinha, Wife of Binkkalesh Sharma, Resident of Village- Dumra,
Haspura, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Deputy Director, Welfare Department, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
4. The District Programme Officer, Aurangabad.
5. The District Welfare Officer, Aurangabad.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Haspura, Aurangabad.
7. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Dumra, Haspura, Aurangabad.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Dumra, Haspura, Aurangabad.
9. Annapurna Devi, Wife of Shakti Kumar Pandey, Resident of village-
Dumra, Haspura, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Rahi, AC go GP21
For respondent no.9 : Mr. Kamlendra Prasad Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2018 Heard Mr. Shashi Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Alok Kumar Rahi, learned AC to GP-21 for the

State and Mr. Kamlendra Prasad Singh, learned counsel for the

private respondent.

The petitioner prays for a direction for appointment as
Anganwari Sevika in Anganwari Kendra Dumra North, Code
No.110, in the district of Aurangabad relying upon the direction
issued by the Deputy Director, Welfare as an appellate authority
under the guidelines in Anganwari Appeal Case No. 143/2011,
whereby while issuing direction in the order so passed he has



mentioned that in case private respondent who was found to be more suitable to the petitioner does not join the post, the same be offered to the petitioner.

A counter affidavit has been filed and confirms the joining of the private respondent on the post of Anganwari Sevika following the order passed in appeal. A copy of joining has been enclosed at Annexure-D to the counter affidavit and is dated 1.7.2016.

The joining of the private respondent thus ends the contest initiated by the petitioner. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

