

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.61126 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -91 Year- 2017 Thana -SHERGHATI District- GAYA

=====

Firangi Chaudhary, Son of Late Pachan Chaudhary @ Pachchu Chaudhary,
Resident of Village- Bajaur, Tola Mishri Tand, P.S.- Sherghati (Dobhi),
District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Tapeswar Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner has been in custody since 09.10.2017
in connection with Sherghati (Dobhi) P.S. Case No. 91 of 2017
for the offence registered under Sections 302/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
entire case is based on conjecture and surmises and that there is
nothing which can go to show that there is any conspiracy between
the father-in-law, mother-in-law and wife Geeta Devi so as to
end the life of the son-in-law of the present petitioner. It is further
submitted that Geeta Devi wife of Late Upendra Chaudhary who
died in his *sasural* has since been extended the privilege of bail



vide order dated 07.08.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 32844 of 2017.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of A.C.J.M., Sherghati (Gaya) in connection with Sherghati (Dobhi) P.S. Case No. 91 of 2017, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) One of the bailors will be his own blood relative, namely, father, mother, brother, sister and/or his wife.

(2) The petitioner shall not indulge himself in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(3) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of his failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(4) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and



make himself available as and when so required and
in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to
move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

