

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3509 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19932 of 2014

=====

Akshayabher Nath Pandey, Son of Late Ram Janma Pandey, resident of
Village- Jamuni Dihta, P.S.- Rajpur, District- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Bihar, Patna Namely Mr. R. K. Mahajan
2. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Bihar, Patna namely
Mr. Ram Chandra Chanda
3. The District Magistrate, Buxar, namely Mr. Raman Kumar
4. The District Provident Fund Officer, Buxar namely Mr. Ashok Kumar Yadav
5. The Treasury Officer, Buxar, namely Mr. Ajmat Ali Ansari
6. The District Education Officer, Buxar, namely Mr. Onkar Prasad Singh
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Buxar namely Mr. Vinayak
Pandey
8. The Accountant General, Bihar, Birchand Patel Road, Patna, namely Mr.
Rajesh Kumar

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Sri Rana Ishwar Chandra, Advocate
For the Opposite Parties : Sri Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, AAG15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

12 18-04-2018 Heard Sri Rana Ishwar Chandra, learned counsel for
the petitioner and learned A.C. to A.A.G. No. 15.

The present petition was filed for initiating contempt
proceeding against opposite parties on an allegation of willful
disobedience to an order dated: 05.02.2016 passed in C.W.J.C.
No. 19932 of 2014.

In this case, number of show-causes have been filed
and it has already been indicated that almost all the retiral dues
of the petitioner have already been paid. So far commutation



of pension is concerned, a plea has been taken that petitioner had not applied for commutation of pension and this was the reason that no sanction order in respect of commutation of pension was passed. In this case show-cause on behalf of Accountant General, Bihar has already been filed in which it has been reiterated that some formalities were required to be fulfilled by the petitioner only then any step can be taken for settling the dispute. In this case further supplementary show-cause has been filed on behalf of opposite party no. 6 on 10.04.2018 wherein it has been indicated that petitioner has not given any option for commutation of pension in the pension form and for that very purpose some formalities are required to be done by the petitioner himself only then any step can be taken.

Considering the fact that order of the writ court has already been substantially complied, there is no reason to keep the matter pending.

The petition stands disposed of.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

