

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40070 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2018 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

-
1. Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Yadav
 2. Sushil Kumar @ Sushil Yadav @ Mani Yadav Both Sons of Sri Sidheshwar Yadav @ Mathur Yadav
 3. Fulendra Kumar @ Fulendra Yadav @ Pulendra Kumar, son of Sri Sarju Yadav
 4. Sangita Devi @ Rinku Devi @ Rinki Devi, wife of Sri Gajendra Yadav
 5. Saroja Devi, wife of Sri Sidheshwar Yadav @ Mathur Yadav, All resident of Village- Murgi Bigha, P.S. Tekari, District Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Murari Prasad Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 27-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Tekari P.S. Case No. 89 of 2018 instituted for the offense under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 307 and 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted there is delay of 19 days from the date of occurrence in lodging the case for which no valid explanation has been given by the informant. In the



written report it is alleged that petitioners Nos. 1 and 2 assaulted the grandson of the informant on the testicles and chest and pushed him down on the ground and also snatched the locket from his neck. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the doctor has found no external injury over the body of the informant's grandson.

The case diary has been received.

Learned APP has submitted that in the case diary injury report of the informant's grandson has been available, wherein, it is mentioned that doctor did not find any external injury. There is no any allegation of specific overt act against petitioners Nos. 3, 4 and 5.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 89 of 2018 , they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the A.C.J.M., VI, Gaya, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions:(1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the



witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to
move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

