

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.44500 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2018 Thana- SASARAM RAIL P.S. District- Gaya

Anand Kumar, S/o Mukeshwar Lal Dev, Resident of Village- Ujjaina, P.S.-
Bahedi, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Roy, APP 240

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner is languishing in jail since 15.05.2018
in connection with Sasaram Rail P.S. Case No. 42 of 2018
registered under Sections 379 and 414 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
allegation against the petitioner is false and fabricated and only
because he has demanded a seat in the railway compartment, the
informant became suspicious and handed over the petitioner to
police stating that his mobile has been recovered from the
possession of the petitioner. It is, further, submitted that the
cash recovered from the petitioner was his own cash and the
petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances let
the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing



bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Sasaram Rail P.S. Case No. 42 of 2018 to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Railway Court, Gaya, on the following conditions.

(1) One of the bailors will be his own blood relative, preferably father, mother, brother, sister of the petitioner.

(2) The petitioner shall not indulge himself in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(3) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(4) The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Shamshad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

