

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.40789 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-408 Year-2017 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

-
1. Dhiren Yadav @ Sanjeev, S/o Ramdeo Yadav,
 2. Jiten Yadav S/o Uday Yadav,
 3. Biren Yadav @ Rajeev S/o Ramdeo Yadav, All are Residents of Vill.- Madhura North Ward No.05, P.S.- Narpatganj, District-Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Jagdhar Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP
for the State.

Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 408 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Section(s) 341, 323, 326(A) and 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is general and omnibus allegations against these petitioners. In the written report, there is specific allegation against co-accused Umesh Yadav of throwing liquid on the face of the informant on account of which he sustained burn injury.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event



of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 408 of 2017, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the CJM, Araria subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions:(1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

shyambihari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

