

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.41562 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -34 Year- 2015 Thana -KHARAGPUR District- MUNGER

=====

1. Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar Son of Vinod Yadav
2. Santosh Yadav @ Santosh Kumar Son of Vinod Yadav Both resident of
Village- Beldara, P.S.- Kharagpur, District- Munger.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Chaubey Jawahar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-07-2018 At the very outset, learned Advocate Vinita Sharma

has submitted that her name has appeared in the daily cause list in

this case, though she has not signed the Vakaltnama.

In view of the same, let her name be deleted from the

daily cause list.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in
connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 34 of 2015, registered
for offences punishable under Sections 448, 307, 354, 385, 379,
504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation as per F.I.R that accused persons including
petitioners entered inside the house of the informant and assaulted
the husband of the informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that
only general and omnibus allegation has been attributed to these



petitioners rather the specific allegation has been leveled against the co-accused of this case and further there is case and counter case between the parties.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, in view of the above facts, let the petitioners above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Munger, in connection with Kharagpur P.S. Case No. 34 of 2015, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C, as well as subject to the following conditions, it is further subject to the condition that:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

