

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.40362 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -78 Year- 2018 Thana -PANAPUR District- SARAN

=====

1. Prem Chand Tiwary @ Sanjay Tiwary Son of Ram Babu Tiwary
Resident of Village - Rasauli, P.S. Panapur, District - Saran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Alok Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-07-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 78 of 2018, registered for offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504 and 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner as per FIR is that the petitioner, who happens to be uncle of the informant was called the informant to settle the dispute regarding the land, which holds in the name of his mother and on protest made by informant, the petitioner along with entire family abused and petitioner assaulted with hockey stick and bat, causing injury.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner



and injury found on the person of the informant are simple in nature and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of six weeks from the date of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 78 of 2018, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Saran, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. with other that bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned and petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and shall be present before police as and when required, otherwise prosecution is at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sudha/Priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

