

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.35593 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -61 Year- 2018 Thana -MEERGANJ District- GOPALGANJ

=====

Shamshul Haque Ansari, Son of Abdul Haque Ansari, Resident of Village-
Khairatia, P.S.- Mirganj, District- Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogendra Prasad Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sanjay Kumar Tiwary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-07-2018 The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 61/2018, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 302 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code.

Prosecution case as per F.I.R. is that an amount of
Rs.20,00,000/- of the father of the informant was due with the
petitioner for which father of the informant used to pressurize the
petitioner for payment of the said amount, but the same was not
paid and further it appears from the F.I.R. that the dead body of
the father of informant was found and the informant who happens
to be his son suspected the hand of the petitioner.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
except suspicion there is absolutely nothing against the petitioner
and no other circumstance has come as that money was taken by
the petitioner.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides, in view of the above facts, let the petitioner above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-XVI, Gopalganj, in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case No. 61/2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C, it is further subject to the condition that:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) It is further made clear that the petition in no way shall threaten the informant otherwise the informant may move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Amjad/Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

