

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.37011 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2018 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

=====

Pappu Kumar S/o Late Rama Shankar Mandal, R/o Vill.- Baligarh Naya Tola,  
Ward No.1, P.S.- Runnisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyadev Prasad Singh Yadav (APP)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN  
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2     28-06-2018                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned counsel representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Runnisaidpur P.S Case No. 34 of 2018 registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471, 420 of the  
Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, the petitioner got himself appointed on  
compassionate ground on the post of Class-IV after producing  
forged certificate.

Submission is of false implication, the petitioner  
was appointed on the basis of certificate issued to the petitioner,  
he has not committed any forgery in the certificate. The  
petitioner has not committed any forgery intentionally, without  
any fault the petitioner is suffering in custody since 31.03.2018,



he has already been removed from service, he has got no criminal antecedent, there is no chance of tampering with the prosecution evidence and, as such, the petitioner deserves sympathetic consideration.

Learned A.P.P. submits that the certificate produced by the petitioner was found not correct after verification.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering the custody of the petitioner now the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi, in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 34 of 2018, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the Court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

**(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)**

Rajiv/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

