

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.29641 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -95 Year- 2018 Thana -AURANGABAD TOWN District-  
AURANGABAD

=====

Pappu Singh S/o Prasidh Singh, R/o Vill.- Karma Road, P.S.- Aurnangabad  
(T), Distt.- Aurangabad (Bihar).

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN  
SHARMA**

**ORAL ORDER**

2      18-05-2018                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as  
well as the learned A.P.P. for the State.

In this application the petitioner seeks bail in  
connection with Aurangabad (T) P.S. Case No. 95 of 2018 for the  
offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 149, 337, 323, 324,  
435, 436, 427, 307, 332, 333, 353, 360, 295 (A), 188 and 120 (B)  
of the Indian Penal Code, and section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegedly, on the occasion of Ramnavami  
procession of Ramnavami was moving in the town and thereafter  
members of both the communities pelted stones to each other and  
engaged in arson and assault.

Submission is of false implication and that there



are 89 named and 100 unknown accused persons and no specific overtact is alleged against the petitioner, moreover no injury was caused to any one, the petitioner is in custody since 27.03.2018, other co-accused in this case have been allowed bail by different benches of this Court and as such the petitioner also deserves sympathetic consideration.

The learned A.P.P. fairly submits that other similarly situated co-accused have been allowed bail.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M. Aurangabad in connection with the aforementioned case, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

**(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)**

Abhay/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

