

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7392 of 2015**

- =====
1. Munna Singh Son of Late Suresh Singh Resident of Village- Kuamma, P.S. Pipuralhi, District- Sheohar, presently posted as Farm Manager Bhavadepur, P.S. Riga, District- Sitamarhi.
  2. Hardeo Baitha Son of late Brahmadeo Baitha Resident of Village- Muradpur, P.S. Dumara, District- Sitamarhi. Presently posted as Farm Manager, Runnisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
  3. Rajdeo Rai Son of late Jiya Lal Rai Resident of Village- Runnisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi. presently posted as Ploughman, Belsand, District- Sitamarhi.
  4. Rajendra Paswan Son of late Anup Paswan Resident of Village- Chandihi, P.S. Riga, District- Sitamarhi, Presently posted as Assistant Farm Incharge, Bairginia, District- Sitamarhi.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Department of Agriculture, All Secretariat, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Director, Department of Agriculture, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Joint Director, Agriculture, Tirhut Division, Mithanpura, Ramaha, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Agriculture Officer, Sitamarhi.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Agriculture, Sitamarhi East.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Agriculture Sitamarhi West.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anshu Dhar Sharma, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Purnendu Singh, GP27  
Mr. Ajay Kumar Rastogi, AC to GP27

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      18-09-2018      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the facts of the present case are identical to the case of C.W.J.C. No. 10465 of 2014 (Rajesh Kumar Thakur & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.), the aforesaid order squarely covers the issue involved in the



present case.

In such view of the matter, the concerned authority is directed to examine the facts, law and prayer of the case of petitioners and if it is found that present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid case, the same benefit should also be extended to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

**(Shivaji Pandey, J)**

Vinay/Sunny

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

