

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6460 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -100 Year- 2016 Thana -DAWATH District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Dinanath Sharma Son of Late Arjun Sharma, Resident of Village-
Jamsona, P.S.-Dawath, District-Rohtas.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 17.11.2017 in connection with Dawath P.S. Case No. 100 of 2016 for the offences alleged under Sections 323, 324, 307 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the backdrop of land dispute between the parties who happens to be the own brothers. There is case and counter case between the parties. The injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-



(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas, Sasaram, in connection with Dawath P.S. Case No. 100 of 2016, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

