

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.7090 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2017 Thana- PANAPUR District- Saran

-
1. Mukesh Pal, son of Jiut Pal.
 2. Dhananjay Kumar Manjhi @ Dhananjay Manjhi, son of Vishwanath Manjhi.
 3. Bablu Lal Mahto, son of Dadun Mahto.
 4. Lalu @ Lal Babu Rai @ Lalu, son of Raghunath Rai.
 5. Tiai Bhagat, son of Late Harihar Bhagat.
 6. Maya Bhagat, son of Tiai Bhagat.
 7. Sonu Paswan, son of Sheonath Paswan.
 8. Bunilal Bhagat, son of Dhupa Bhagat.
 9. Kedar Sharma, son of Asarfi Sharma.
 10. Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Sah, son of Kishor Sah.
 11. Rameshwar Bhagat, son of Jhulan Bhagat.
 12. Rambrai Raut @ Ram Brai Raut, son of Satdeo Raut.
 13. Soulesh Thakur @ Shailesh Thakur, son of Puna Thakur.
 14. Kamlesh Kumar Pandit @ Kamlesh Pandit, son of Janardan Pandit.

All resident of Village- Bankwan, P.O.- Rasauli, P.S.- Panapur,
District- Saran at Chapra.

15. Uday Singh @ Uday Kumar Singh, son of Sheodyal Singh.
16. Chandan Kumar, son of Shyam Bahadur Singh,
Both resident of Village- Dumari, P.S.- Taraiya, District- Saran
at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Shri Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Madhuri Lata, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2018 Heard Shri Jeetendra Narayan , learned counsel for the
petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

Sixteen petitioners, apprehending their arrest in connection
with Panapur P.S. Case No. 128 of 2017 corresponding to G.R.
No. 6900 of 2017 registered for the offence under Section 147,



148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 153-A, 353, 295-A, 504, 506 and 188 of the Indian Penal Code , 1860 have prayed for grant of bail in the event of their arrest or surrender purely on parity. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to Annexure – ‘3’ to the petition i.e. an order dated 21.12.2017 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 61758 of 2017 whereby about 42 petitioners were extended the privilege of anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners’ case also stands on similar footing.

Besides hearing learned counsel for the parties, I have also perused the materials available on record and after going through the same, I am of the opinion that it is a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let aforesaid sixteen petitioners namely: (1) Mukesh Pal (2) Dhananjay Kumar Manjhi @ Dhananjay Manjhi (3) Bablu Lal Mahto (4) Lalu @ Lal Babu Rai @ Lalu (5) Tiai Bhagat (6) Maya Bhagat (7) Sonu Paswan (8) Bunilal Bhagat (9) Kedar Sharma (10) Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Sah (11) Rameshwar Bhagat (12) Rambrai Raut @ Ram Brai Raut (13) Soulesh Thakur @ Shailesh Thakur (14) Kamlesh Kumar Pandit @ Kamlesh Pandit (15) Uday Singh @



Uday Kumar Singh (16) Chandan Kumar be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. - IVth , Saran at Chapra / concerned court in connection with Panapur P.S. Case No. 128 of 2017 corresponding to G.R. No. 6900 of 2017 subject to the conditions as contemplated under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

