

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.11938 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -149 Year- 2013 Thana -PAROO District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

Parmeshwar Kumar Mahto @ Parmeshwar Mahto, Son of Sitaram Mahto, Resident of Village- Chochahi, P.S.- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Bela Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 27-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 18.12.2017 in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 149 of 2013 for the offences alleged under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 469, 471 and 474 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated on mere suspicion. It is submitted that the documents which are allegedly forged and said to have been recovered from the petitioner's house, belonged to various customers of the petitioner who has been running a photo-state shop for the last 20 years. Co-accused, namely, Harendra Rai and Bharat Patel have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 57649 of 2017. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, West Muzaffarpur, in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 149 of 2013,



on the following conditions:-

- (i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.
- (iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.
- (iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

B.T/Chandran

(Vikash Jain, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

