

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15870 of 2016

=====

Krishna Deo Singh, son of Late Ram Sanehi Singh, Resident of Village Rajpur, P.O. Dharamshala, P.S. Paraiya, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. District Development Commissioner, Gaya.
4. Sub- Divisional Officer, Tekari, District Gaya.
5. Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Tekari, District Gaya.
6. Block Development Officer, Paraiya, District Gaya.
7. Circle Officer, Paraiya, District- Gaya.
8. Executive Engineer, Rural Engineering Organization Work Division-I, Gaya.
9. Gram Panchayat Karhata through its Mukhiya, Block Paraiya, District, Gaya.
10. Gram Panchayat Karhata through its Mukhiya, Block Paraiya, District, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manish Kumar, AC to AAG-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-11-2018 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the respondent-State.

The present writ application has been filed for a direction to the respondent authorities for restraining them from constructing Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan, on the land, appertaining to Khata No. 165, Plot No. 1649, situated at Karhata Tola within Karhata Panchayat, Block-Paraiya, District-Gaya.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan was recommended to be



constructed over the land appertaining to Khata No.165, Plot No.514, situated at Village-Rajpur within Karhata Gram Panchayat, but contrary to the recommendation and the guidelines, the said construction of Panchayat Sarkar building has been started on some other plot i.e., Khata No.165, Plot No.1649, situated at Karhata Panchayat, Block-Paraiya, District-Gaya.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at present, he is not having any instruction whether the Panchayat Sarkar building has been constructed over the land in question or not and similar stand has been taken by the counsel for the respondent-State in this regard.

In the circumstances, the writ application is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to ventilate the grievances at the appropriate stage, in accordance with law.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

