

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.20088 of 2018

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -286 Year- 2017 Thana -CHAUSA District- MADHEPURA

=====

Vikas Mistri, S/o Bhotal Mistri, R/o Kalasan, P.S.- Chausa, District-
Madhepura.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Surya Narayan Yadav, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Indra Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no.
2.

The petitioner is languishing in custody since
18.01.2018 in a case for the offence registered under Sections 341,
323, 498(A), 494 and 504 of the IPC.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner tortured the victim due to non-
fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There
is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the
petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present



case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.

On behalf of the learned counsels for the State and opposite party no. 2, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M. Udakishunganj (Madhepura), in connection with Chausa P.S. Case No. 286 of 2017.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Sudhir Singh, J)

