

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12251 of 2018**

=====

Ajit Kumar Singh, Son of Surendra Pd. Singh, Resident of Village- Udhadih,  
Police Station- Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food & Supply, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
4. The S.D.O. Sadar, Bhagalpur.
5. The District Supply Officer, Bhagalpur.
6. The Assistant District Supply Officer, Bhagalpur.
7. The Block Supply Officer, Sultanganj, Bhagalpur.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Najmul Hodda, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. ARVIND UJJWAL SC4

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL JUDGMENT

**Date: 10-07-2018**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel  
for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the  
order contained in memo no. 852 dated 01.12.2017 passed by the Sub-  
Divisional Officer, Sadar, Bhagalpur by which licence of the petitioner's  
Fair Price shop bearing no. 32 of 2016 has been cancelled and monthly  
allotment has been stopped; and further to restore the petitioner's  
licence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short submission  
to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of the enquiry  
report was not made available to the petitioner and he was never  
confronted with the same with an opportunity of being heard or adducing  
evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been taken in para 13 of the



writ petition that the impugned order of cancellation of licence has been passed without providing a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner, though the same had been relied upon in the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the enquiry report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated. The impugned order dated 01.12.2017 (Annexure-1) is hereby quashed and the matter remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Bhagalpur for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 4

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner denying receipt of the enquiry report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 14.07.2018 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

