

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2505 of 2017
(Arising out of Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 281/2017, Dist Patna.)**

=====

Siyamani Devi W/o Rajballabh Prasad, R/o Village- Hunrari, P.S.- District-
Nalanda, At Present Address- Panedi Tola-Tola Bigha, P.O.- Panedi, P.S.-
Dhanaruan, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home (Spl.), Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police Central Range, Patna.
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Patna.
7. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dhanarua, Masaurhi.
8. The Station House Officer, Dhanarua, P.S., Patna.
9. The D.C.L.R. Masaurhi, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Viveka Nand Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Partha Sarthi(GA-4)

Mr. Utsav Kumar, AC to GA-4

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN
PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 08-02-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

Petitioner, in the present case, is seeking a direction
to S.H.O., Dahanarua Police Station, District-Patna to provide
security and protection of life, limb or property of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner happens to be the daughter of the one of the co-sharers
of Mahendra Gope and has got a piece of land by gift from her
mother after death of her father. It is her case that her co-sharers



(cousin brothers) are creating troubles and hindrance in the matter of her possession over the said land. A copy of the order dated 13.06.2016 passed by the learned Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Masaurhi, Patna in BLDR Case No.53/2015-16, as contained in Annexure-1, has been brought on the record to show that the findings with regard to said piece of land are in favour of the present petitioner. Learned counsel submits that this order, as contained in Annexure-1, has not been interfered with or disturbed by any appellate authority till date. Attention of this Court has been drawn towards the facts stated in paragraph 9 of the application where it is stated that this petitioner has also lodged an FIR being Dhanarua P.S. Case No.281 of 2017 dated 08.06.2017 against Ranjeet Yadav, Guddu Yadav and others. Grievance of the petitioner is that the police authorities are not providing her adequate protection as a result of which she is being harassed.

On the other hand, learned counsel representing the State submits that presently he has no instruction in the matter. However, so far as grievance of the petitioner regarding her security and protection of life is concerned, the State and its authorities are always ready to take care of the grievance of a citizen if she is complaining about any threat of her life and liberty or property.



In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the present writ application is being disposed of with a direction to the S.H.O., Dahanarua to assess the threat perception of the petitioner and if it is found that she has got real threat of her life, liberty and property, it will be the responsibility of the officer in-charge of the concerned police station within whose jurisdiction the petitioner is residing to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner in accordance with law.

The application stands disposed of.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

