

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3834 of 2017

=====

Shahnaj Begam, W/o Md. Zahoor Alam, R/o Village- Baijnathpur, Gram Panchayat Simariya, P.S.- Triveniganj, District Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mass Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Supaul.
6. The Sub-Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Triveniganj, Supaul.
7. The District Education Officer, Supaul.
8. The District Program Officer, Literacy, Supaul.
9. The Block Education Officer, Block- Triveniganj, District- Supaul.
10. The Vidyalaya Shiksha Samiti through its Chairperson, Utkramit Madhya Vidyalaya, Baijnathpur, Gram Panchayat Simariya, Block- Triveniganj, District- Supaul.
11. The In-Charge Head Master, Utkramit Madhya Vidyalaya, Baijnathpur, Gram Panchayat Simariya, Block- Triveniganj, District- Supaul.
12. Smt. Nujahat Praveen, Wife of Md. Mohsin Khan, R/o Village- Baijnathpur, Gram Panchayat Simariya, P.S.- Triveniganj, District Supaul.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Navendu Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Smt. Shilpa Singh, GA-12

Mr. Sunil Kuamr, AC to GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 12-04-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that an enquiry was held in the matter of irregularity/mal-practice for selection and appointment of Talimi Markaj. He submits that the District Magistrate, Supaul was apprised of the illegality, but no action was taken. He submits that as a result of inaction on the part



of the District Magistrate, Supaul, corrective measure was not done.

Considering the aforesaid, the writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation enclosing the report, which contains finding as to the illegality in engagement of Talimi Markaj. In case, such representation is filed by the petitioner along with a copy of this order, the District Magistrate, Supaul will take appropriate decision on the representation of the petitioner within a maximum period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.04.2018
Transmission Date	

