

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2417 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7948 of 2007

=====

Dayanand Kumar son of Late Bijendra Prasad Yadav resident of village -
Patarghati, P.O. + Block + P.S. - Triveniganj, District - Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Superintendent of Education, Supaul, District - Supaul.
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Supaul, District - Supaul.
7. The Director, District Rural Development Authority, Supaul.
8. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Triveniganj, District - Supaul.
9. The Block Development Officer, Triveniganj, District - Supaul.
10. Navindra Thakur, the Secretary of Panchayat, Gram Panchayat Thalha Gadhiya, South, Anchal Triveniganj, District - Supaul.
11. The Popularly elected Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Thalha Gadhiya, South, Anchal Triveniganj, District - Supaul.
12. The High School Teacher, Member of Teacher Election Committee, Triveniganj High School, District - Supaul.
13. The Panchayat Samittee Member, Gram Panchayat Thalha Gadhiya, South, Anchal Triveniganj, District - Supaul.
14. Ward Member, Member of Teacher Selection Committee Gram Panchayat Thalha Gadhiya, South, Anchal Triveniganj, District - Supaul.
15. Rajesh Kumar Gupta son of Kunni Sah resident of village Patarghatti, P.O. + P.S. Triveniganj, District - Supaul.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amrit Abhijat

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey - Aag 15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 07-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

It appears that the present application for modification
has been filed after a period of more than 6 years.



Considering the entire facts and circumstances and also that the nature of illness is not such as to give any benefit to the petitioner for the purposes of filing an appeal before the Appellate Authority, I am not inclined to modify the order. It is accordingly, rejected.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

