

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 15950 of 2016

=====

Mosmat. Ram Pyari Devi Wife of Late Raj Kamal Prasad, Resident of Village-
Khap Tola, Nautan, Police Station- Nautan, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department.
2. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Senior Treasury Officer, West Champaran at Bettiah.
4. The Treasury Officer, West Champaran at Bettiah.
5. The Branch Manager, State Bank of India, West Champaran at Bettiah.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar No.7, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. S. K. Ranjan, A.C. to G.P. 17
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar Sharan, Advocate
For the State Bank of India	:	Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-01-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; State;
Accountant General and respondent no. 5.

2. The stand taken on behalf of the respondent no. 5
today is that only due to certain formalities not completed by the
petitioner, payment of family pension and arrears thereof have not
been paid.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that she



would go to the office of respondent no. 5 to complete the formalities. Learned counsel for respondent no. 5 and Chief Manager, State Bank of India, Bettiah Branch, who was present in Court took a stand that they would facilitate completion of formalities by the petitioner upon her coming to the Branch and thereafter payment shall be credited into her account.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off with a direction to the petitioner to appear before the respondent no. 5, within two weeks from today along with a copy of this order. The respondent no. 5 shall ensure that all legal formalities are completed within two working days and thereafter actual payment shall be made to the petitioner latest by first week of February, 2018. In the meantime, the petitioner shall also ensure that whatever documents are required, are obtained by her and taken to the respondent no. 5 when she visits his office.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 5 points out that in the P.P.O. order issued by the Accountant General, the date of birth has not been mentioned. The Court would clarify the matter at this juncture. The respondent no. 5 shall take the date of birth as recorded in the file relating to account of the petitioner which shall further be verified with the date of birth mentioned in the Aadhar Card. In case of discrepancy between the two, the date of birth in



the Aadhar Card shall be taken as the date of birth.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	

