

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3171 of 2017

In

LPA 568 of 2016

- =====
1. Birendra Kumar Roy, Son of Late Vashudeo Rai, Resident of Village Chaknaur, P.O. Gadaisarai, P.S.- Hazipur Sadar, District- Vaishali.
 2. Bipin Kumar Singh, Son of Late M.P. Singh, resident of Village- Kulharia, P.S.- Koelwar, District- Bhojpur.
 3. Dinesh Chandra Singh, Son of Dr. Lallo Singh, Resident of 301, Chandan Mahal Apartment, Khajpura, near Ara Garden More, Bailey Road, P.S. Airpot, District Patna.
 4. Sultan Ali Ansari, Son of Late Md. Rafique Ansari, Resident of Village- Chand Mohan, P.O. & P.S.- Kundwa, Chainpur, District- East Champaran.
 5. Sunil Kumar Jaiswal, Son of Sri M.L. Jaiswal, Resident of 73, Shakti Nagar, Saket Bihar, P.O.- Anisabad, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District- Patna.
 6. Abhay Kumar Singh, Son of Sri Ram Lakhan Singh, Resident of Qtr. No. Patna High Court LPA no. 162 of 2016 dt.- 19-07-2017 2/35 24/48, Lal Bahadur Shastrinagar, P.S. Shastrinagar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Beverages Corporation Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan-II, 1st Floor, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna Through The Managing Director. null null
2. Mr. Aditya Kumar Das Managing Director, Bihar State Beverages Corporation Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan-II, 1st Floor, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna through the Managing Director.
3. Mr. Mahavir Prasad Sharma, General Manager Finance Bihar State Beverages Corporation Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan-II, 1st Floor, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna through the Managing Director.
4. Mr. Reyaz Ahmad Khan, General Manager Human Resources and Administration, Bihar State Beverages Corporation Ltd. Vidyut Bhawan-II, 1st Floor, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna through the Managing Director.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Girijish Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)



2 16-04-2018 Considering the fact that the order passed in L.P.A. No.162 of 2016 has been stayed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P. filed by the respondent-Corporation, now no case is made out for initiating action for contempt. The petitioner may seek implementation of the order passed in the L.P.A. after the S.L.P. is decided by the Supreme Court.

With the aforesaid, this application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

N.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

