

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.328 of 2017

=====

Krishna Singh, son of Late Mathura Singh, resident of Village & P.O.- Sihuli,
Khaira, P.S.- Rafiganj, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The State Project Director, Bihar Secondary Education Council, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Aurangabad.
7. The District Education Officer, Aurangabad.
8. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Aurangabad.
9. The Circle Officer, Rafiganj, District: Aurangabad.
10. The Block Education Officer, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Priyadarshi Matri Sharan, A.C. to AAG-15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 17-01-2018

This application for review has been filed primarily on the ground that after the writ petition C.W.J.C. No. 3463 of 2016 was dismissed on 31.01.2017 now the District Level Committee has made a recommendation in favour of the petitioner and the same has been filed in this review application as Annexure-7. The said recommendation is dated 26.05.2017 i.e. much after the writ petition was decided and, therefore, on



this ground the review application is not maintainable.

In case the petitioner feels that in view of the subsequent development in the recommendation made, as is indicated hereinabove, the petitioner has right to raise the claim afresh, he is free in law and liberty shall be available to him to ventilate his grievance afresh in accordance with law, in case it gives a fresh cause to the petitioner due to the subsequent development.

With the aforesaid observation and liberty to the petitioner, the matter stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	19.01.2018
Transmission Date	

