

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 57742 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2013 Thana- C.B.I CASE District- Patna

=====

Sangeeta Devi @ Sangita D/o Shri Ram Swarth Singh, R/o Village & P.O.-
Nayagao, via- Sheohar, P.S.- Dumari Katsati, District- Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The Union of India through the Central Bureau of Investigation the
Suprintendant of Police, Anti Corruption Branch, Dr. S.K. Singh Path, Bailey
Road, Patna-800022.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Miss Vagisha Pragya Vacaknavi
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha(SC, CBI)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5. 23-03-2018 Heard Miss Vagisha Pragya Vacaknavi, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Sri Bipin Kumar Sinha, learned Standing
Counsel for the C.B.I.

The sole petitioner, apprehending her arrest in CBI/ACB
Case No. RC0232013A003/2013 registered for offence under
Sections 120B/420/467/468/477-A/471 of the Indian Penal
Code r/w Section 13(2)/13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption
Act, 1988 (Spl. Case No. 4/2013), has prayed for grant of bail in
the event of her arrest or surrender.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was appointed as Gramin Das Sevak on the basis of
genuine certificate, however; the petitioner, during investigation
of the present case, has been made accused, as if, she was



appointed on the strength of fictitious certificate. She further submits that petitioner was not named in the F.I.R. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, without any cogent evidence, the petitioner has been made accused, whereas, Sri Bipin Kumar Sinha, learned Standing Counsel for C.B.I., on the basis of fact disclosed in the case diary, submits that during investigation, involvement of the petitioner was found true and thereafter, petitioner has also been chargesheeted as accused alongwith others.

Considering the fact that during investigation, accusation against the petitioner has been found true and chargesheet has already been submitted, there is no reason to entertain the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

