

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1968 of 2018**

- =====
1. Vinay Kumar Sinha, S/o Dineshwar Prasad, Resident of Mohalla Sankat-Mochan Colony, P.O. & P.S. Madhubani, District- Madhubani.
  2. Dhyani Paswan, Son of Late Birogi Das, Resident of Vill. Kasiyona, P.O. & P.S. Raj Nagar District- Madhubani.
  3. Nawal Kishore Singh, Son of Late Jagdeo Singh, Resident of village Dataur, P.S. Khajauli, District- Madhubani.
  4. Prem Nath Thakur, S/o Sri Shyam Bihari Thakur, Resident of Village Jagdishpur, P.O. & P.S. Ara, District Bhojpur at Ara.
  5. Suresh Kumar Paswan, Son of Late Jahrilal Paswan, resident of Village Bausi, P.O. Tilhata, P.S. Babubarhi, District- Madhubani.
  6. Ram Naresh Chaudhary, son of Late Srikant Chaudhary, resident of Village & Post Phent, P.S. Basopatti District- Madhubani.
  7. Pawan Kumar Jha, Son of Late Chandeshwar Jha, Resident of Village-Singion, P.O. Rampatti, P.S. Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Darbhanga.
5. The Collector Darbhanga.
6. The Collector, Madhubani.
7. The Engineer-in-Chief Irrigation Creation, Water Resources Department, Patna.
8. The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Creation, Water Resources Darbhanga.
9. The Superintending Engineer, Western Koshi Canal Circle Madhubani.
10. The Executive Engineer, Western Koshi Canal Division, Rajnagar, District Madhubani.
11. The Sub-Divisional Engineer, Western Koshi Canal Sub-Division, Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarendra Narayan

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar-Aag4

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-03-2018

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits

that the petitioners would be satisfied in case, their case for regularization is directed to be decided expeditiously by the



respondent no. 6.

Accordingly, it is directed that the petitioner may file a representation before the respondent no. 6 within a period of two weeks from today and the respondent no. 6 shall consider the case of the petitioners and dispose of the same by a reasoned and detailed order taking into account the judgment of this Court reported in ***2017(4) PLJR (Pandav Yadav and ors. v. the State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department and ors.)***

The writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

rinkee/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

