

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2731 of 2017

=====

Bimal Kumar Jha, S/o Sri Krishna Kumar Jha, resident of Village-Bhawanipur, P.S.- Sakari district- Madhubani, presently residing at Rental Flat No. 261, Lohia Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna- 20.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary-cum-Second Appellate Authority, under the Bihar Right to Public Grievance Redressal Act, 2015 (in short the Bihar Act), Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Secretary-cum-First Appellate Authority, under the Bihar Act, 2015, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary-cum-Departmental Public Grievance Redressal Officer, under the Bihar Act, 2015, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Divisional Commissioner-cum-First Appellate Authority, under the Bihar Act, 2015, Division- Darbhanga, Darbhanga.
6. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
7. The Additional District Magistrate-cum-District Public Grievance Redressal Officer, under the Bihar Act, 2015, District- Madhubani.
8. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
9. The Inspector General of Police, Darbhanga.
10. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Darbhanga.
11. The Superintendent of Police, District- Madhubani.
12. The Station House Officer, P.S.- Sakari, District- Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimal Kumar Jha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

3 20-04-2018 On perusal of Annexure-12, 13 and 14 of the writ application it would appear that the family of this petitioner has got some disputes with their co-sharers in the village. Annexure-14, which is an order passed by the second appellate authority under the Public Grievance Redressal Act, also mentions about



some title suit going between the parties and the fact that there were proceeding under Section 144 Cr.P.C. and 107 Cr.P.C. between both the parties.

This writ application has been preferred with a prayer to quash the orders as contained in Annexure-13 and 14 to the writ application. The orders impugned have been passed under the provisions of Right to Public Grievance Redressal Act, 2015, in the opinion of this Court, a criminal writ application would not be maintainable for quashing of said orders in the nature of disputes. Having gone through the orders impugned in this writ application, this Court does not find any reason to entertain this writ application.

The writ application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

